

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

8-Hydroxyquinolin-1-ium nitrate

Wan-Sin Loh,‡ Madhukar Hemamalini and Hoong-Kun Fun*§

X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my


Received 30 September 2010; accepted 1 October 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 100$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$ Å; R factor = 0.033; wR factor = 0.095; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.7.

In the title salt, $\text{C}_9\text{H}_8\text{NO}^+\cdot\text{NO}_3^-$, the quinoline ring system is essentially planar with a maximum deviation of 0.043 (1) Å. In the crystal, an $R_2^2(7)$ ring motif is formed by intermolecular $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds between the cation and the anion. In addition, intermolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds link the two ions, generating an $R_2^2(8)$ ring motif. These sets of ring motifs are further linked into a ribbon along the a axis via intermolecular $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For background to and the biological activity of quinoline derivatives, see: Campbell *et al.* (1988); Markees *et al.* (1970); Michael (1997); Morimoto *et al.* (1991); Reux *et al.* (2009); Sasaki *et al.* (1998). For related structures, see: Loh *et al.* (2010*a,b,c,d*). For the stability of the temperature controller used in the data collection, see: Cosier & Glazer (1986). For bond-length data, see: Allen *et al.* (1987).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\text{C}_9\text{H}_8\text{NO}^+\cdot\text{NO}_3^-$ $M_r = 208.17$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ $a = 11.3186$ (2) Å $b = 6.7568$ (1) Å $c = 14.5006$ (2) Å $\beta = 128.882$ (1)° $V = 863.27$ (2) Å³ $Z = 4$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.13$ mm⁻¹ $T = 100$ K
 $0.33 \times 0.21 \times 0.15$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD
area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2009)
 $T_{\min} = 0.959$, $T_{\max} = 0.981$ 9590 measured reflections
1978 independent reflections
1754 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.095$
 $S = 1.08$
1978 reflections
144 parametersH atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.39$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.22$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1N1}\cdots\text{O4}^{\text{i}}$	0.874 (18)	1.944 (18)	2.8112 (12)	171.6 (15)
$\text{O1}-\text{H1O1}\cdots\text{O4}^{\text{ii}}$	0.86 (3)	1.83 (3)	2.6794 (16)	169 (2)
$\text{C2}-\text{H2A}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{iii}}$	0.93	2.53	3.106 (2)	120
$\text{C2}-\text{H2A}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{i}}$	0.93	2.31	3.0247 (14)	133
$\text{C8}-\text{H8A}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{ii}}$	0.93	2.40	3.249 (2)	152

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-1, -y+\frac{1}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x+1, y-\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: *SAINTE* (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: *SAINTE*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

The authors thank Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for the Research University Grant (1001/PFIZIK/811160). WSL thanks USM for the award of a USM fellowship and HM thanks USM for the award of a postdoctoral fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IS2609).

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. S1–19.
- Bruker (2009). *APEX2*, *SAINTE* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Campbell, S. F., Hardstone, J. D. & Palmer, M. J. (1988). *J. Med. Chem.* **31**, 1031–1035.
- Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **19**, 105–107.
- Loh, W.-S., Hemamalini, M. & Fun, H.-K. (2010*a*). *Acta Cryst.* **E66**, o2709.
- Loh, W.-S., Hemamalini, M. & Fun, H.-K. (2010*b*). *Acta Cryst.* **E66**, o2726–o2727.
- Loh, W.-S., Quah, C. K., Hemamalini, M. & Fun, H.-K. (2010*c*). *Acta Cryst.* **E66**, o2357.
- Loh, W.-S., Quah, C. K., Hemamalini, M. & Fun, H.-K. (2010*d*). *Acta Cryst.* **E66**, o2396.
- Markees, D. G., Dewey, V. C. & Kidder, G. W. (1970). *J. Med. Chem.* **13**, 324–326.
- Michael, J. P. (1997). *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **14**, 605–608.

‡ Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: C-7581-2009.

§ Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: A-3561-2009.

Morimoto, Y., Matsuda, F. & Shirahama, H. (1991). *Synlett*, **3**, 202–203.
Reux, B., Nevalainen, T., Raitio, K. H. & Koskinen, A. M. P. (2009). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **17**, 4441–4447.

Sasaki, K., Tsurumori, A. & Hirota, T. (1998). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, pp.3851–3856.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2907-o2908 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810039395]

8-Hydroxyquinolin-1-ium nitrate

W.-S. Loh, M. Hemamalini and H.-K. Fun

Comment

Recently, hydrogen-bonding patterns involving quinoline and its derivatives with organic acid have been investigated (Loh *et al.*, 2010*a,b,c,d*). Syntheses of the quinoline derivatives were discussed earlier (Sasaki *et al.*, 1998; Reux *et al.*, 2009). Quinolines and their derivatives are very important compounds because of their wide occurrence in natural products (Morimoto *et al.*, 1991; Michael, 1997) and biologically active compounds (Markees *et al.*, 1970; Campbell *et al.*, 1988). Herein we report the synthesis of 8-hydroxyquinolin-1-ium nitrate.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound (Fig. 1) consists of one 8-hydroxyquinolin-1-ium cation (C1–C10/N1/N2) and one nitrate anion (O2–O4/N2). One proton is transferred from the hydroxyl group of nitric acid to the atom N1 of 8-hydroxyquinoline during the crystallization, resulting in the formation of salt. The quinoline ring system (C1–C9/N1) is approximately planar with a maximum deviation of 0.043 (1) Å at atom C4. Bond lengths (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and angles are within the normal ranges and are comparable to the related structures (Loh *et al.*, 2010*a,b,c,d*).

In the crystal packing (Fig. 2), $R_2^2(7)$ ring motifs are formed by intermolecular N1—H1N1···O4 and C2—H2A···O3 hydrogen bonds (Table 1). In addition, pairs of intermolecular O1—H1O1···O4 and C8—H8A···O2 hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the cations and anions together to generate another set of $R_2^2(8)$ ring motifs. These sets of ring motifs are further linked into ribbons along the *a* axis *via* intermolecular C2—H2A···O3 hydrogen bonds (Table 1).

Experimental

A few drops of nitric acid were added to a hot methanol solution (20 ml) of 8-hydroxyquinoline (29 mg, Merck) which had been warmed over a magnetic stirrer hotplate for a few minutes. The resulting solution was allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. Crystals of the title compound appeared after a few days.

Refinement

Atoms H1N1 and H1O1 were located from the difference Fourier map and were refined freely [N—H = 0.874 (18) Å and O—H = 0.86 (2) Å]. The remaining H atoms were positioned geometrically with the bond length of C—H being 0.93 Å and were refined using a riding model, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms and the atom-numbering scheme.




Fig. 2. The crystal structure of the title compound, viewed along the *b* axis.

8-Hydroxyquinolin-1-ium nitrate

Crystal data

$C_9H_8NO^+ \cdot NO_3^-$

$M_r = 208.17$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: -P 2ybc

$a = 11.3186$ (2) Å

$b = 6.7568$ (1) Å

$c = 14.5006$ (2) Å

$\beta = 128.882$ (1)°

$V = 863.27$ (2) Å³

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 432$

$D_x = 1.602$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 5491 reflections

$\theta = 3.5$ – 37.4 °

$\mu = 0.13$ mm⁻¹

$T = 100$ K

Block, colourless

$0.33 \times 0.21 \times 0.15$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite

φ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2009)

$T_{\min} = 0.959$, $T_{\max} = 0.981$

9590 measured reflections

1978 independent reflections

1754 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$

$\theta_{\max} = 27.5$ °, $\theta_{\min} = 3.5$ °

$h = -14 \rightarrow 14$

$k = -8 \rightarrow 8$

$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$

$wR(F^2) = 0.095$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$S = 1.08$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0472P)^2 + 0.3584P]$
1978 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
144 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.39 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. The crystal was placed in the cold stream of an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra open-flow nitrogen cryostat (Cosier & Glazer, 1986) operating at 100.0 (1) K.

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
O1	0.07908 (9)	0.09986 (13)	0.12784 (7)	0.0167 (2)
N1	-0.13600 (11)	0.10475 (14)	0.15595 (8)	0.0139 (2)
C1	0.01506 (13)	0.11333 (16)	0.25398 (10)	0.0138 (2)
C2	-0.24612 (13)	0.10646 (17)	0.16438 (10)	0.0163 (2)
H2A	-0.3465	0.0953	0.0961	0.020*
C3	-0.21344 (13)	0.12476 (17)	0.27446 (10)	0.0171 (2)
H3A	-0.2913	0.1279	0.2795	0.021*
C4	-0.06498 (13)	0.13802 (17)	0.37464 (10)	0.0158 (2)
H4A	-0.0424	0.1546	0.4479	0.019*
C5	0.05450 (13)	0.12672 (16)	0.36803 (10)	0.0144 (2)
C6	0.21000 (13)	0.12812 (17)	0.46913 (10)	0.0171 (2)
H6A	0.2383	0.1339	0.5447	0.020*
C7	0.31841 (13)	0.12080 (18)	0.45453 (10)	0.0186 (3)
H7A	0.4204	0.1209	0.5210	0.022*
C8	0.27878 (13)	0.11312 (18)	0.34078 (11)	0.0177 (2)
H8A	0.3547	0.1114	0.3334	0.021*
C9	0.12859 (13)	0.10813 (16)	0.24054 (10)	0.0148 (2)
O2	0.52824 (10)	0.47945 (18)	0.24518 (8)	0.0321 (3)
O3	0.53907 (10)	0.42125 (15)	0.39694 (8)	0.0239 (2)
O4	0.74765 (9)	0.44023 (13)	0.41965 (7)	0.0179 (2)
N2	0.60151 (11)	0.44742 (16)	0.35208 (9)	0.0177 (2)
H1N1	-0.1627 (19)	0.091 (2)	0.0852 (16)	0.028 (4)*
H1O1	0.145 (2)	0.056 (3)	0.1211 (17)	0.042 (5)*

supplementary materials

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
O1	0.0159 (4)	0.0230 (4)	0.0140 (4)	0.0024 (3)	0.0108 (3)	0.0012 (3)
N1	0.0159 (5)	0.0145 (5)	0.0124 (4)	0.0001 (4)	0.0095 (4)	-0.0002 (3)
C1	0.0161 (5)	0.0114 (5)	0.0137 (5)	0.0003 (4)	0.0094 (4)	0.0003 (4)
C2	0.0153 (5)	0.0169 (5)	0.0167 (5)	-0.0007 (4)	0.0100 (4)	-0.0008 (4)
C3	0.0189 (5)	0.0183 (6)	0.0200 (5)	-0.0007 (4)	0.0150 (5)	-0.0012 (4)
C4	0.0216 (6)	0.0138 (5)	0.0150 (5)	0.0000 (4)	0.0130 (5)	-0.0003 (4)
C5	0.0183 (5)	0.0114 (5)	0.0146 (5)	-0.0001 (4)	0.0108 (5)	0.0000 (4)
C6	0.0198 (5)	0.0163 (5)	0.0133 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0095 (5)	-0.0004 (4)
C7	0.0152 (5)	0.0191 (6)	0.0160 (5)	0.0010 (4)	0.0071 (4)	-0.0004 (4)
C8	0.0163 (5)	0.0186 (6)	0.0203 (6)	0.0008 (4)	0.0126 (5)	0.0003 (4)
C9	0.0184 (5)	0.0132 (5)	0.0147 (5)	0.0010 (4)	0.0113 (5)	0.0010 (4)
O2	0.0186 (4)	0.0607 (7)	0.0157 (4)	0.0030 (4)	0.0101 (4)	0.0078 (4)
O3	0.0169 (4)	0.0395 (5)	0.0197 (4)	-0.0012 (4)	0.0137 (4)	0.0007 (4)
O4	0.0121 (4)	0.0260 (5)	0.0155 (4)	0.0000 (3)	0.0087 (3)	-0.0005 (3)
N2	0.0141 (4)	0.0238 (5)	0.0159 (5)	0.0002 (4)	0.0098 (4)	-0.0006 (4)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

O1—C9	1.3558 (13)	C4—H4A	0.9300
O1—H1O1	0.86 (2)	C5—C6	1.4170 (15)
N1—C2	1.3266 (15)	C6—C7	1.3707 (16)
N1—C1	1.3770 (14)	C6—H6A	0.9300
N1—H1N1	0.874 (18)	C7—C8	1.4126 (16)
C1—C9	1.4160 (16)	C7—H7A	0.9300
C1—C5	1.4196 (15)	C8—C9	1.3790 (16)
C2—C3	1.3995 (16)	C8—H8A	0.9300
C2—H2A	0.9300	O2—N2	1.2343 (13)
C3—C4	1.3701 (16)	O3—N2	1.2372 (13)
C3—H3A	0.9300	O4—N2	1.2899 (12)
C4—C5	1.4166 (16)		
C9—O1—H1O1	114.7 (13)	C4—C5—C1	117.82 (10)
C2—N1—C1	122.28 (10)	C6—C5—C1	118.93 (10)
C2—N1—H1N1	117.2 (11)	C7—C6—C5	119.40 (10)
C1—N1—H1N1	120.5 (11)	C7—C6—H6A	120.3
N1—C1—C9	120.19 (10)	C5—C6—H6A	120.3
N1—C1—C5	118.95 (10)	C6—C7—C8	121.52 (11)
C9—C1—C5	120.86 (10)	C6—C7—H7A	119.2
N1—C2—C3	120.99 (10)	C8—C7—H7A	119.2
N1—C2—H2A	119.5	C9—C8—C7	120.63 (11)
C3—C2—H2A	119.5	C9—C8—H8A	119.7
C4—C3—C2	119.03 (10)	C7—C8—H8A	119.7
C4—C3—H3A	120.5	O1—C9—C8	125.09 (10)
C2—C3—H3A	120.5	O1—C9—C1	116.28 (10)
C3—C4—C5	120.81 (10)	C8—C9—C1	118.62 (10)

C3—C4—H4A	119.6	O2—N2—O3	122.01 (10)
C5—C4—H4A	119.6	O2—N2—O4	119.39 (9)
C4—C5—C6	123.24 (10)	O3—N2—O4	118.60 (9)
C2—N1—C1—C9	179.06 (10)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-178.64 (11)
C2—N1—C1—C5	-0.72 (16)	C1—C5—C6—C7	1.38 (16)
C1—N1—C2—C3	2.44 (17)	C5—C6—C7—C8	0.40 (18)
N1—C2—C3—C4	-0.98 (17)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-1.52 (18)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-2.14 (17)	C7—C8—C9—O1	-179.71 (11)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-176.26 (11)	C7—C8—C9—C1	0.77 (17)
C3—C4—C5—C1	3.72 (16)	N1—C1—C9—O1	1.69 (15)
N1—C1—C5—C4	-2.31 (15)	C5—C1—C9—O1	-178.53 (10)
C9—C1—C5—C4	177.91 (10)	N1—C1—C9—C8	-178.75 (10)
N1—C1—C5—C6	177.67 (10)	C5—C1—C9—C8	1.03 (16)
C9—C1—C5—C6	-2.11 (16)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
N1—H1N1 \cdots O4 ⁱ	0.874 (18)	1.944 (18)	2.8112 (12)	171.6 (15)
O1—H1O1 \cdots O4 ⁱⁱ	0.86 (3)	1.83 (3)	2.6794 (16)	169 (2)
C2—H2A \cdots O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.53	3.106 (2)	120
C2—H2A \cdots O3 ⁱ	0.93	2.31	3.0247 (14)	133
C8—H8A \cdots O2 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.40	3.249 (2)	152

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-1, -y+1/2, z-1/2$; (ii) $-x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2$; (iii) $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

